

REMARKS

The Final Office Action has rejected Claims 61, 81 – 83 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for allegedly failing to provide enablement. Further, it has rejected Claims 61, 81-83 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for allegedly failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.


In the above amendment, Claims 61, 81 and 82 have been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. The structural relationship between the substituents and the hydroxamate compound has been more clearly defined.

Support for this amendment is found throughout the specification and, specifically, the summary of the invention.

Submitted concurrently herewith is a Request for Reconsideration pertaining to the Final Rejection dated July 25, 2003.

For various reasons, the application is in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first and second paragraph be reconsidered and withdrawn and a favorable action is hereby solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter I. Bernstein
Registration No. 43,497

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser
400 Garden City Plaza
Garden City, NY 11530

PIB/MMR/rjl